

Below is a compendium of past statements — with relevant links — that were made by plaintiffs and/or their attorney, not a single one of which has been proven, substantiated or supported by the settlement agreement or prior rulings by the court.

[This article](#), as well as this [blog post](#) from Free Speech scholar professor Robert Cohen of NYU, make clear that UC Berkeley has continued to support student efforts to bring a wide range of speakers to campus.

- In an interview Saturday, Dhillon said the new UC Berkeley events policy, which details the steps student groups must take to bring a speaker to campus, is “unconstitutionally vague,” and does not successfully provide the injunctive relief her clients demanded in their original suit.
- Dhillon said she would go into new, “excruciating” detail in the amended complaint to demonstrate that Cal was dishonest, specifically in the lead-up to Coulter’s planned appearance.
- “(The plaintiffs) will file an amended complaint with additional facts and allegations to frontally challenge UC Berkeley’s continued refusal to honor well-settled First and Fourteenth Amendment civil rights,” the press release stated.
- Young America’s Foundation’s First Amendment lawsuit against the school (will give) students their day in court to hold Berkeley accountable for violating their rights and suppressing conservative speech. UC Berkeley has used its infamous unwritten and unpublished High Profile Speaker Policy to repeatedly suppress conservative speech on campus.
- “There is significant evidence of Berkeley’s work to suppress conservative speech. Conservative students will finally have their day in court, and we are confident that the outcome of this case will be the restoration of students’ First Amendment rights at the University of California, Berkeley”

- "Dhillon reiterated the charge that UC-Berkeley had used a vaguely-defined policy to discriminate against conservative speakers.....in a September 30 statement, she argued that the new policy gives “bureaucrats and officials” illegal “content and viewpoint-based discretion,” “I’m disappointed to see that 30 years later, particularly at a public institution like UC-Berkeley, the birthplace of the Free Speech Movement, university administrators deny students equal access to.”